

Review report for the assessment of papers submitted to the conference “Technics and Informatics in Education 2014”

Conference type: Scientific/expert conference with international participation

The paper reviewed: _____

		write +	NO	Partially	YES
1	General theme of the paper corresponds to the conference themes and the paper is relevant to the conference.				
2	The topic is original.				
3	The title of the paper reflects the paper's content.				
4	Focus on the main theme is present in all parts of the paper.				
5	The paper is well organized and contains all the structural parts relevant to the type it belongs to.				
6	All the necessary structural elements of the paper are functional. The structure is determined by the type of the paper.				
7	The introduction is written so to introduce the content of the paper.				
8	The results of the paper are applicable.				
9	The examples used are explained and clear.				
10	The illustrations and images are clear and support the text of the paper.				
11	The conclusion is derived from the content presented in the paper.				
12	The conclusion is clear and convincing.				
13	The paper is in accordance with the current knowledge in the relevant field.				
14	The references used by the author are up-to-date and relevant.				
15	The author refers to the sources in accordance with the standards in citing and forming the list of references.				
16	The paper is properly written (correct spelling, grammar and style).				
17	The paper is concise and clear.				
18	The paper is arranged according to the technical requirements of the conference.				
19	The author has indicated the innovative aspect of their paper.				
20	The paper provides a clear contribution to the conference themes.				

General assessment of the paper

1 2 3 4 5

Recommendation

- Accept the paper entirely with no changes.
- Accept the paper with minor technical changes.
- Accept the paper with minor scientific/expert changes.
- Accept the paper only if substantial changes were made (ask the authors to modify the paper according to the reviewers' suggestions).
- Ask the authors to modify the paper in order to be accepted after an additional review.
- Do not accept the paper / reject the paper unconditionally.

Classification of the paper: Proposal for the classification of the paper within relevant category

- Original scientific paper
- Scientific paper in form of previous report
- Review article
- Professional paper
- Expert review article

The reviewer's comments are on the following page.

The reviewer's comments

Self-assessment of the reviewer's competence:

- a. I am an expert in the field researched in the paper
- b. I have a very good knowledge of the field
- c. I have a general knowledge of the field
- d. I have a poor knowledge of the field
- e. I am not familiar with the field (scientific committee elects another reviewer)

Reviewer:

Date:
